

Calciophylaxis: An In-Depth Analysis of Etiology, Risk Factors, and Treatment Modalities

Genebelle Mynn, Edgemont Jr./Sr. High School, 200 White Oak Ln, Scarsdale, NY 10583, USA. gbmynn@gmail.com, 914-954-9029

Keywords

Calciophylaxis, Calcific Uremic Arteriopathy, Nephrology, Chronic Kidney Disease, Skin Necrosis, JuxtaVascular Calcification, Hyperparathyroidism, Sodium Thiosulfate, Vitamin K, Fetuin-A

Abstract

Calciophylaxis is a rare and life-threatening disease characterized by calcium deposition in the small arteries of the skin and subcutaneous fatty tissue, leading to necrotic, severely painful lesions that are often prone to infection and contribute to a high mortality rate. The disease is primarily associated with advanced chronic kidney disease, particularly in patients with end-stage kidney disease (ESKD). Despite its severe outcomes, calciophylaxis remains incompletely understood. The underlying mechanisms involve a complex interplay of metabolic and vascular factors, making effective treatment and management challenging. This study examines the disorder's current understanding, its pathophysiology, associated risk factors, diagnostic challenges, and emerging treatment strategies. The information from this review can shed light on the disease's underlying mechanisms and highlight the importance of early diagnosis and multidisciplinary management in improving patient outcomes.

Introduction

Calciophylaxis, also known as calcific uremic arteriopathy, is a rare and serious disorder affecting the skin and subcutaneous tissue. It is characterized by painful skin lesions accompanied by necrotic skin and subcutaneous fatty tissue. These lesions involve mostly the thighs, buttocks, and body parts of more fat tissues, and primarily appear among patients with advanced chronic kidney disease. Calciophylaxis is a life-threatening vascular disease resulting from calcium deposition in the small arteries of the skin and subcutaneous adipose tissue (1).

It is a lethal disease with high mortality and morbidity and has an estimated 6-month survival of approximately 50% (2). Bryant and White first described the disease in 1898 (3). Later, the term “calciophylaxis” was coined by Hans Seyle in 1962 (4-5).

Epidemiology, Risk Factors, and Pathophysiology

Calciophylaxis is most commonly associated with chronic kidney disease, especially in patients undergoing maintenance dialysis. The largest nationwide study to date estimates an incidence rate of 3.49 per 1,000 patient-years among patients receiving maintenance hemodialysis (6).

In kidney failure patients, the enzyme 1- α hydroxylase, which converts vitamin D (25-hydroxyvitamin) to 1,25-hydroxy vitamin D, the biologically active form, is less expressed in the kidney. This decreased synthesis of active vitamin D causes poor calcium absorption from the gut. The resulting reduced kidney function lacks proper phosphate and calcium excretion, causing activated parathyroid gland function, known as secondary hyperparathyroidism; this condition can cause increased serum phosphate and calcium levels, facilitating arterial calcification and calciophylaxis.

In addition to renal failure, other notable risk factors for calciophylaxis include obesity, diabetes mellitus, female sex, hyperparathyroidism, use of warfarin, vitamin K deficiency, and some other medical conditions (1).

Calciophylaxis is a vaguely understood disorder, and its pathogenesis involves complex interactions between metabolic and vascular factors. The skin lesions of calciophylaxis are attributed to reduced arterial blood flow (7-8), caused by calcification, and thrombus formation primarily involving the dermo-hypodermic (skin-cutaneous) arterioles.

Microvascular (small blood vessel) calcification occurs (9) likely through an active process involving the upregulation of factors in osteogenesis (bone formation) and bone remodeling, including bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2), runt-related transcription factor 2 (RUNX-2) (1,10-11) and osteopontin (12-13). Adipocytes (fat cells) may also play a significant role in vascular calcification (14). Ongoing vascular endothelial (inner layer) injury causes cutaneous arteriolar narrowing and thrombosis, leading to tissue infarction (15).

Hyperparathyroidism and Vitamin D

Hyperparathyroidism, active vitamin D administration, hyperphosphatemia, and elevated plasma calcium \times phosphorus product (Ca \times P) are also implicated in the pathogenesis of calciophylaxis.

Animal models suggest a role for hyperparathyroidism, showing that the administration of large amounts of parathyroid hormone can induce ischemic (lack of blood supply) skin necrosis (death of skin cells) (16).

Moreover, parathyroidectomy (parathyroid gland removal) has been associated with clinical improvement in some patients (2,17). The benefit of parathyroidectomy may be attributed to the uptake of calcium and phosphate into the bone, which occurs following surgery and as a result,

lowers the serum calcium and phosphorus levels.

However, there have been no clear studies of the effectiveness of parathyroidectomy in treating calciphylaxis. Most patients with severe hyperparathyroidism do not develop skin necrosis, and many patients with calciphylaxis do not have hyperparathyroidism, indicating that other causes are responsible for calciphylaxis(18-19). Studies that report comprehensive data on PTH (parathyroid hormone) levels months to years before calciphylaxis diagnosis are needed to determine the pathogenic role of hyperparathyroidism in calciphylaxis.

In experimental models, high-dose vitamin D administration has been shown to induce calciphylaxis (20-21). This observation may be relevant, as calcitriol (an active vitamin D) and other vitamin D analogs are routinely administered to treat secondary hyperparathyroidism seen in ESKD patients. Active vitamin D and its analogs may contribute to calciphylaxis indirectly through increasing serum calcium and phosphate, or directly through their effect on the vasculature.

Genetic factors may also play a role in the development of calciphylaxis, with genes encoding vitamin D receptor (rs17882106 and rs10783223) and Fibroblast growth factor 23 (rs7310492, rs11063118, and rs13312747) being potentially implicated (22).

Inhibitors of Vascular Calcification

Deficiencies in inhibitors of vascular calcifications may contribute to the pathogenesis of calciphylaxis. Two important inhibitors include Fetuin-A (2-Heremens-Schmid glycoprotein) and matrix Gla protein (MGP).

Fetuin-A

Fetuin-A is an abundant serum glycoprotein that binds calcium and phosphate in the circulation, thereby forming calciprotein particles that aid in clearing the circulation of excess $\text{Ca} \times \text{P}$ (23). In animal models, Fetuin-A limits organ and soft-tissue calcification and vascular calcium deposition (24). Low Fetuin-A levels correlate with chronic inflammation and cardiovascular calcification in patients on hemodialysis (25). Compared with serum Fetuin-A levels in healthy individuals, Fetuin-A levels in patients on hemodialysis are lower and have a diminished capacity to inhibit ex-vivo (experimental) $\text{Ca} \times \text{P}$ precipitation (26).

Matrix Gla Protein (MGP)

MGP is a mineral-bonding extracellular (outside of cell) matrix protein that is synthesized by vascular (blood vessel) smooth muscles, endothelial, and chondrocytes (27). In animal models, MGP has been shown to inhibit calcification of arteries and cartilage (28). Warfarin, a vitamin K antagonist, is a risk factor for calciphylaxis and is known to impede vitamin K-dependent

mechanisms, including the carboxylation of MGP; this inhibition may be a mechanism by which warfarin increases the risk of calciphylaxis (19,27,29).

The calcification inhibitor pyrophosphate is degraded by tissue-neutral alkaline phosphatase, which is in turn inhibited by adenosine (30).

Other Inhibitors

Other inhibitors of calciphylaxis include Klotho, pyrophosphate osteoprotegerin, and magnesium.

α -Klotho

α -Klotho is a membrane protein that is highly expressed in the kidney. Levels of Klotho decrease with progressive chronic kidney disease (CKD) (31). Klotho increases phosphate excretion and inhibits phosphate uptake by vascular smooth muscle (32).

Pyrophosphate

Pyrophosphate is produced by vascular smooth muscle cells and inhibits the formation of hydroxyapatite crystals, which are a key component of calciphylaxis. Pyrophosphate is reduced in patients with CKD, particularly those on dialysis (33). In laboratory studies, the administration of pyrophosphate has been shown to reduce ectopic calcification without apparent adverse effects on bone (34).

Osteoprotegerin

Osteoprotegerin competes with the receptor activator of NF- κ B ligand (RANKL) and its receptor, RANK, on osteoclast (bone resorption cell) precursor cell membranes (35). While RANKL promotes vascular calcification, osteoprotegerin protects against it (36). However, the clinical effects of osteoprotegerin activity are not completely clear, as both low and high serum concentrations of osteoprotegerin have been associated with vascular calcification (37-38).

Magnesium

Many experimental studies have suggested that the administration of magnesium prevents vascular calcification (39-41). Potential mechanisms include the inhibition of calcium-phosphate crystal growth in the circulation, thereby decreasing calcium-phosphate deposition and preventing the phenotype (observable character) changes of vascular smooth muscles to osteoblasts (bone formation cells) (39).

Chronic Inflammation

Chronic inflammation is another significant factor in calciphylaxis. Conditions such as connective tissue disease, Crohn's disease, and autoimmune conditions have been reported in patients with uremic and nonuremic calciphylaxis (25,42-43).

Epidemiology

While calciphylaxis is commonly associated with ESKD, it can also occur among patients with normal kidney function (42-45). In such cases, the most commonly associated underlying conditions include primary hyperparathyroidism (28%) malignancy (22%), alcoholic liver disease (17%), and connective tissue disorders (4%). Furthermore, prior treatment with glucocorticoid and warfarin has been linked to the development of calciphylaxis in non-ESKD patients.

Diagnosis of Calciphylaxis

Clinical Manifestation

Early skin lesions are painful subcutaneous indurated nodules or plaques accompanied by livedo reticularis (net-like, reddish-blue pattern on the skin). The evolution occurs in a few days to months towards the formation of superficial and deep ulcerations leading to the black eschar, which are intensely painful with centrifuged extension (46). In some patients, pain may precede the skin lesion development. The exact mechanism of pain in calciphylaxis is unclear and is thought to be ischemic in origin, or a neuropathic (nerve damage) component (47).

Lesions develop in areas with abundant adipose tissue (1,48). Ischemic myopathy (muscle damage) is an infrequent manifestation, presenting as painful proximal muscle weakness and can occur without skin necrosis (49).

Laboratory Findings

There are no specific laboratory findings in patients with calciphylaxis.

Biopsy Findings

Skin and fatty tissue arterial calcification, subintimal (inner layer) fibrosis, and thrombotic occlusion of arterioles (7,47,50). Calcification most commonly involves the medial (middle) layer of the arteries, arterioles, and capillaries. However, intimal (inner layer) calcification and calcification of subcutaneous adipose tissue have also been reported (51). Microcalcification detections often require special stains such as von Kossa or Alizarin red (52).

Diagnosis

Calciophylaxis should be suspected in patients with ESKD or advanced chronic kidney disease with painful subcutaneous nodules or plaques, non-healing ulcers, or cutaneous necrosis, particularly in areas with increased adiposity (fatty tissue).

Early stages of calciophylaxis may be misdiagnosed due to the absence of typical clinical features (53).

A skin biopsy may be indicated when a diagnosis of calciophylaxis is uncertain and the patient presents with atypical skin findings (eg. papules, erythema resembling cellulitis), or when patients present early lesions that exhibit characteristic calciophylaxis lesions without having advanced CKD (54). When performing a skin biopsy, samples should be collected from the margin of the lesions, avoiding the direct necrotic area. Skin biopsies are contraindicated if there are suspicions of a superimposed infection.

CT scans have also been performed to detect tissue calcification, but still require further diagnostic evaluation for diagnosis. For further evaluation, a three-phase technetium 99m methylene diphosphonate bone scan can be used as an adjunct to support the diagnosis of calciophylaxis.

Differential Diagnosis

Differential diagnoses include Atherosclerosis, cholesterol embolization, warfarin necrosis, endarteritis obliterans, vasculitis, cellulitis, purpura fulminans, oxalate vasculopathy, antiphospholipid antibody syndrome, cardiac myoma, radiation arteritis, Martorell hypertensive ischemic ulcer, and early-stage nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (47).

Management of Calciophylaxis

There are no US FDA-approved treatments or uniform guidelines for managing calciophylaxis.

Current management strategies include analgesia (pain control), wound care, and mitigation of risk factors. Management is a collaborative task among a dermatologist, a nephrologist, a wound care specialist, and a pain and palliative care specialist. Furthermore, medications such as calcimimetics (parathyroid hormone-reducing agents) are frequently used to treat calciophylaxis, however, their efficacy remains uncertain.

Wound Care and Symptomatic Management

Wound care is one of the most important steps when treating calciophylaxis to limit necrotic (damaged) tissue and prevent infection.

Reported wound-care modalities include enzymatic debridements (dead tissue removal),

non-surgical debridements with maggots, and judicious surgical debridement (55-56). However, the relationship between surgical debridement of calciphylaxis and survival rates is controversial.

Some studies showed better survival among the patients who received surgical debridement but offered no significant impact on early mortality (defined as death within 6 months) (57-58).

Forms of symptomatic management include hyperbaric oxygen therapy, nutritional support, and pain control – which often requires multimodal analgesia and high-dose opioids. Furthermore, additional pain-control agents such as ketamine, benzodiazepines, or spinal anesthetics, and the effective use of cryo-neurolysis of sciatic and pudendal nerves have been used to manage intractable pain from calciphylaxis (59-60).

Pharmacotherapeutic Agents

Intravenous Sodium Thiosulfate

Intravenous (IV) sodium thiosulfate (STS) was first reported as a treatment option for calciphylaxis in 2004 (61). Sodium thiosulfate reduces intravascular (inside of blood vessel) and extravascular (outside of blood vessel) calcification burden by chelating (removing by binding) calcium salts and forming a more soluble product, calcium thiosulfate. This process effectively reduces the calcification burden of adipocytes and vascular smooth muscle cells (62-63). IV STS has also been reported to reduce reactive oxygen species (55).

The dosing of IV sodium thiosulfate is variable for patients on hemodialysis (HD). After a test dose of 12.5g is administered, it may be followed by 25g three times a week during the last hour of HD, although other dosing schedules have been reported. A case report presented a successful use of small-dose fractionated STS in a patient undergoing peritoneal dialysis (64).

Intravenous STS has also demonstrated therapeutic success for calciphylaxis in patients with normal kidney function (65). Although there remains a need for more controlled studies to determine the efficacy of sodium thiosulfate, it is frequently used to treat calciphylaxis.

Adverse effects of STS include hypotension, metabolic acidosis, volume overload, hypocalcemia, and QT-interval prolongation (55,66). These adverse effects may be avoided if STS is administered intralesionally (into the lesion) (67). However, there is so far no survival difference between patients with intralesional STS therapy and patients with dual therapy with intralesional and intravenous STS. Furthermore, intralesional injection frequency varies, ranging from a single injection to an injection every few days, with a median quantity of 3.0 ml (68).

SNF472

SNF472 is a hexasodium salt of myo-inositol hexaphosphate. It is an inhibitor of vascular calcification (69). The result of the Phase 3 clinical trial of hexasodium fytate is available. In

patients with calciphylaxis BWAT-CUA and Pain VAS improved. Similarly, in hexasodium fytate and placebo treated patients. Over the course of the entire trial, there were fewer deaths and calciphylaxis related events leading to hospitalization in the hexasodium fytate group.

Biphosphonates

Biphosphonates, which are pyrophosphate analogs, may offer therapeutic benefits for patients with calciphylaxis in the setting of ESKD.

A prospective series of 11 patients with calciphylaxis treated with biphosphonate all showed slowed calciphylaxis progression 2-4 weeks after starting treatment. These patients exhibited significantly improved outcomes compared with those managed with supportive therapies, such as debridement and using low calcium dialysis solution only during HD (70).

Vitamin K

Vitamin K may play a role in the treatment of calciphylaxis as well. The evidence for the positive role of vitamin K in the inhibition of vascular calcification has been explored in clinical trials (Clinical Trail.gov identifier=NCT02278692) (71).

Elimination of Risk Factors

Elimination of risk factors for calciphylaxis is as equally important as active treatment. This includes the withdrawal of warfarin (vitamin K antagonist), vitamin D, and calcium-based phosphate binders (1). Furthermore, optimizing mineral bone disease management by maintaining target ranges of calcium phosphorus, and parathyroid hormone levels for patients with advanced CKD or HD is essential. Replacing activated vitamin D with cinacalcet to keep parathyroid hormone levels in the targeted range, as well as parathyroidectomy for severe refractory hyperparathyroidism are also reasonable approaches (72).

Renal Replacement Therapy

Some case reports state that increased HD treatment frequency resulted in the complete healing of calciphylaxis ulcers (73-74). Kidney transplants, the ideal kidney replacement therapy, also resulted in full resolution of these calcific lesions in isolated case reports (63,75-76).

Experimental Therapies

Several novel and experimental therapies have been evaluated in calciphylaxis and may be used in patients with treatment-resistant lesions (77-79).

A daily low-dose infusion of tissue plasminogen activator was used successfully as adjuvant treatment in a few treatment-resistant patients. Still, many such treatments were complicated by

bleeding (80).

Sterile maggot therapy with larvae of the greenbottle flies, *Lucilia sericata* has been described as a second-line therapy in case reports (81-82).

Revascularization procedures are not recommended for calciphylaxis treatment.

Vitamin K supplementation was reported as part of a multimodal treatment regimen in 18% of patients from the German Calciphylaxis Registry (18). Data on its efficacy and safety, however, are limited.

Palliative Care

Calciphylaxis is a debilitating condition associated with high morbidity and mortality rates. The use of palliative care provides a multidisciplinary strategy to minimize pain and suffering as goals of care for patients.

Perspectives

Calciphylaxis is a rare disease, however it has high morbidity and mortality. Early diagnosis and termination of offending drugs are crucial as definitive treatments are still under trial. It is well established that the use of acidic oligo peptides for bone imaging is an attractive option because they exhibit no apparent side effects and have a shorter half-life *in vivo* compared to bisphosphonate (85).

The peptides HA-pep2, HA-pep 3 and HA-pep 7 were identified as having strong and specific affinity to hydroxyapatite (85). They can be used for early diagnosis of calciphylaxis lesion before the appearance of eschar.

Unlike bone targeting and imaging, we can use acidic oligo peptide (HA-pep 7), which binds several calcium salt-based materials. That effect is the main intention of calciphylaxis treatment. The peptide-calcium product can be dialyzed out.

Conclusion

Calciphylaxis is a highly lethal disease with high morbidity. The estimated mortality rate is 40% within 6 months and 44% in one year (2,83). Infection is the primary cause of the high mortality associated with this condition, with one report indicating that it accounted for up to 58% of deaths. Ulcerative lesions are particularly severe, carrying a mortality rate of >80% (79). The consequences of unrecognized calciphylaxis can be devastating, underscoring the importance of early diagnosis.

Physicians must consider calciphylaxis as a diagnosis when patients with kidney disease present with a painful skin lesion, even in the setting of acute kidney injury and CKD of allograft (82). A dermatologic evaluation including a histopathological analysis and radiological investigation may help facilitate early diagnosis.

The management of calciphylaxis requires a multimodal and interdisciplinary approach. A trial of pharmacotherapy with sodium thiosulfate should be prioritized. Once a diagnosis is suspected, early withdrawal of risk-inducing medications (warfarin, vitamin D, and calcium-based binders) is essential.

Hyperparathyroidectomy is also an option but can cause severe hypocalcemia, and the effectiveness of cinacalcet in managing uncontrolled hyperparathyroidism is limited. Furthermore, pain control is crucial. While increasing dialysis doses or performing a transplant may be considered, they are not always practical solutions.

More controlled clinical trials are necessary to establish better prevention and treatment of calciphylaxis.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Dr. Jinil Yoo, professor of medicine, for mentoring me in navigating various medical literature and helping me understand the many facets of medical illness.

References

1. Nigwekar SU, Thadhani R, Brandenburg VM. Calciphylaxis. *N Engl J Med* 2018; 378:1704.
2. McCarthy JT, El-Azhary RA, Patzelt MT, et al. Survival, Risk Factors, and Effect of Treatment in 101 Patients with Calciphylaxis. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2016; 91:1384.
3. Bryant J, White W. A case of calcification of the arteries and obliterative endarteritis, associated with hydronephrosis, in a child aged six months. *Guys Hosp Rep* 1898;55:17-20.
4. Selye H, Gabbiani G, Strebel R. Sensitization to calciphylaxis by endogenous parathyroid hormone. *Endocrinology* 1962;71:554-8.
5. Selye H. The dermatologic implications of stress and calciphylaxis. *J Invest Dermatol* 1962;39:259-75.
6. Nigwekar SU, Zhao S, Wenger J, et al. A nationally representative study of calcific uremic arteriolopathy risk factors. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2016;27(11):3421-3429. doi:10.1681/ASN.2015091065
7. Chen TY, Lehman JS, Gibson LE, et al. Histopathology of Calciphylaxis: Cohort Study With Clinical

- Correlations. *Am J Dermatopathol* 2017; 39:795.
8. Jeong HS, Dominguez AR. Calciphylaxis: Controversies in Pathogenesis, Diagnosis and Treatment. *Am J Med Sci* 2016; 351:217.
 9. Au S, Crawford RI. Three-dimensional analysis of a calciphylaxis plaque: clues to pathogenesis. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2002; 47:53.
 10. Kramann R, Brandenburg VM, Schurgers LJ, et al. Novel insights into osteogenesis and matrix remodeling associated with calcific uraemic arteriopathy. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2013; 28:856.
 11. Tian F, Patterson AT, Davick JJ, et al. The cutaneous expression of vitamin K-dependent and other osteogenic proteins in calciphylaxis stratified by clinical features and warfarin use: A case control study. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2016; 75:840.
 12. Magro CM, Momtahan S, Hagen JW. Osteopontin expression in biopsies of calciphylaxis. *Eur J Dermatol* 2015; 25:20.
 13. Ahmed S, O'Neill KD, Hood AF, et al. Calciphylaxis is associated with hyperphosphatemia and increased osteopontin expression by vascular smooth muscle cells. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2001; 37:1267.
 14. Chen NX, O'Neill K, Akl NK, Moe SM. Adipocyte induced arterial calcification is prevented with sodium thiosulfate. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2014; 449:151.
 15. Weenig RH. Pathogenesis of calciphylaxis: Hans Selye to nuclear factor kappa-B. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2008; 58:458.
 16. Selye H, Somogyi A, Mécs I. Calcergy inhibited by calciphylactic challengers. *Science* 1968; 159:1361.
 17. Arch-Ferrer JE, Beenken SW, Rue LW, et al. Therapy for calciphylaxis: an outcome analysis. *Surgery* 2003; 134:941.
 18. Brandenburg VM, Kramann R, Rothe H, et al. Calcific uraemic arteriopathy (calciphylaxis): data from a large nationwide registry. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2017; 32:126.
 19. Nigwekar SU, Bhan I, Turchin A, et al. Statin use and calcific uremic arteriopathy: a matched case-control study. *Am J Nephrol* 2013; 37:325.
 20. Price PA, Williamson MK, Nguyen TM, Than TN. Serum levels of the fetuin-mineral complex correlate

with artery calcification in the rat. *J Biol Chem* 2004; 279:1594.

21. Price PA, Omid N, Than TN, Williamson MK. The amino bisphosphonate ibandronate prevents calciphylaxis in the rat at doses that inhibit bone resorption. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2002; 71:356.
22. Rothe H, Brandenburg V, Haun M, et al. Ecto-5' -Nucleotidase CD73 (NT5E), vitamin D receptor and FGF23 gene polymorphisms may play a role in the development of calcific uremic arteriolopathy in dialysis patients - Data from the German Calciphylaxis Registry. *PLoS One* 2017; 12:e0172407.
23. Heiss A, DuChesne A, Denecke B, et al. Structural basis of calcification inhibition by alpha 2-HS glycoprotein/fetuin-A. Formation of colloidal calciprotein particles. *J Biol Chem* 2003; 278:13333.
24. Schafer C, Heiss A, Schwarz A, et al. The serum protein alpha 2-Heremans-Schmid glycoprotein/fetuin-A is a systemically acting inhibitor of ectopic calcification. *J Clin Invest* 2003; 112:357.
25. Cozzolino M, Galassi A, Biondi ML, et al. Serum fetuin-A levels link inflammation and cardiovascular calcification in hemodialysis patients. *Am J Nephrol* 2006; 26:423.
26. Ketteler M, Bongartz P, Westenfeld R, et al. Association of low fetuin-A (AHSG) concentrations in serum with cardiovascular mortality in patients on dialysis: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet* 2003; 361:827.
27. Wallin R, Cain D, Sane DC. Matrix Gla protein synthesis and gamma-carboxylation in the aortic vessel wall and proliferating vascular smooth muscle cells--a cell system which resembles the system in bone cells. *Thromb Haemost* 1999; 82:1764.
28. Luo G, Ducy P, McKee MD, et al. Spontaneous calcification of arteries and cartilage in mice lacking matrix GLA protein. *Nature* 1997; 386:78.
29. Coates T, Kirkland GS, Dymock RB, et al. Cutaneous necrosis from calcific uremic arteriolopathy. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1998; 32:384.
30. Markello TC, Pak LK, St Hilaire C, et al. Vascular pathology of medial arterial calcifications NT5E deficiency: implications for the role of adenosine in pseudoxanthoma elasticum. *Mol Genet Metab* 2011; 103:44.
31. Kim HJ, Kang E, Oh YK, et al. The association between soluble klotho and cardiovascular parameters in chronic kidney disease: results from the KNOW-CKD study. *BMC Nephrol* 2018; 19:51.

32. Hu MC, Shi M, Zhang J, et al. Klotho deficiency causes vascular calcification in chronic kidney disease. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2011; 22:124.
33. O'Neill WC, Sigrist MK, McIntyre CW. Plasma pyrophosphate and vascular calcification in chronic kidney disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2010; 25:187.
34. O'Neill WC, Lomashvili KA, Malluche HH, et al. Treatment with pyrophosphate inhibits uremic vascular calcification. *Kidney Int* 2011; 79:512.
35. Zannettino AC, Holding CA, Diamond P, et al. Osteoprotegerin (OPG) is localized to the Weibel-Palade bodies of human vascular endothelial cells and is physically associated with von Willebrand factor. *J Cell Physiol* 2005; 204:714.
36. Collin-Osdoby P. Regulation of vascular calcification by osteoclast regulatory factors RANKL and osteoprotegerin. *Circ Res* 2004; 95:1046.
37. Stenvinkel P, Ketteler M, Johnson RJ, et al. IL-10, IL-6, and TNF-alpha: central factors in the altered cytokine network of uremia--the good, the bad, and the ugly. *Kidney Int* 2005; 67:1216.
38. Moe SM, Reslerova M, Ketteler M, et al. Role of calcification inhibitors in the pathogenesis of vascular calcification in chronic kidney disease (CKD). *Kidney Int* 2005; 67:2295.
39. Ter Braake AD, Shanahan CM, de Baaij JHF. Magnesium Counteracts Vascular Calcification: Passive Interference or Active Modulation? *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2017; 37:1431.
40. Ter Braake AD, Tinnemans PT, Shanahan CM, et al. Magnesium prevents vascular calcification in vitro by inhibition of hydroxyapatite crystal formation. *Sci Rep* 2018; 8:2069.
41. Ter Braake AD, Smit AE, Bos C, et al. Magnesium prevents vascular calcification in Klotho deficiency. *Kidney Int* 2020; 97:487.
42. Nigwekar SU, Wolf M, Sterns RH, Hix JK. Calciphylaxis from nonuremic causes: a systematic review. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2008; 3:1139.
43. Bajaj R, Courbebaisse M, Kroshinsky D, et al. Calciphylaxis in Patients With Normal Renal Function: A Case Series and Systematic Review. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2018; 93:1202.
44. Weenig RH, Sewell LD, Davis MD, et al. Calciphylaxis: natural history, risk factor analysis, and outcome. *J*

Am Acad Dermatol 2007; 56:569.

45. Yu WY, Bhutani T, Kornik R, et al. Warfarin-Associated Nonuremic Calciphylaxis. JAMA Dermatol 2017; 153:309.
46. Fares I, Bouattar T, Kobe HM, Benzouina H, Benbella M, Ouzeddoun N, *et al.* Calciphylaxis: Successful management of a rare complication of chronic kidney disease in two patients. Case Rep Nephrol 2019;2019:1-6.
47. Nigwekar SU, Kroshinsky D, Nazarian RM, et al. Calciphylaxis: risk factors, diagnosis, and treatment. Am J Kidney Dis 2015; 66:133.
48. Nigewakar SU, Zhao S, Wenger J, et al. A Nationally Representative Study of Calcific Uremic Arteriopathy Risk Factors. J Am Soc Nephrol 2016; 27:3421.
49. Edelstein CL, Wickham MK, Kirby PA. Systemic calciphylaxis presenting as a painful, proximal myopathy. Postgrad Med J 1992; 68:209.
50. Essary LR, Wick MR. Cutaneous calciphylaxis. An underrecognized clinicopathologic entity. Am J Clin Pathol 2000; 113:280.
51. Daudén E, Oñate MJ. Calciphylaxis. Dermatol Clin 2008; 26:557.
52. Mochel MC, Arakaki RY, Wang G, et al. Cutaneous calciphylaxis: a retrospective histopathologic evaluation. Am J Dermatopathol 2013; 35:582.
53. Ghosh T, Winchester DS, Davis MDP, et al. Early clinical presentations and progression of calciphylaxis. Int J Dermatol 2017; 56:856.
54. Brandenburg VM, Evenepoel P, Floege J, et al. Lack of evidence does not justify neglect: how can we address unmet medical needs in calciphylaxis? Nephrol Dial Transplant 2016; 31:1211.
55. Vedvyas C, Winterfield LS, Vleugels RA. Calciphylaxis: a systematic review of existing and emerging therapies. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2012;67(6):e253-e260. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2011.06.009
56. Tittelbach J, Graefe T, Wollina U. Painful ulcers in calciphylaxis- combined treatment with maggots therapy and oral pentoxifyllin. J Dermatolog Treat. 2001;12(4):211-214. doi: 10.1080/09546630152696035

57. Weenig RH, Sewell LD, Davis MDP, McCarthy JT, Pittelkow MR. Calciphylaxis: natural history, risk factor analysis, and outcome. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2007;56(4):569-579. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2006.08.065
58. McCarthy JT, El-Azhary RA, Patzelt MT, et al. Survival, risk factors, and effect of treatment in 101 patients with calciphylaxis. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2016;91(10):1384-1394. doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2016.06.025
59. Polizzotto MN, Bryan T, Ashby MA, Martin P. Symptomatic management of calciphylaxis: a case series and review of the literature. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2006;32(2):186-190. doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2006.03.009
60. Edquist MK, Azene EM. Image-guided peripheral nerve cryoneurolysis for pain relief in calciphylaxis. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2020;31 (11):1947-1949. doi: 10.1016/j.jvir.2020.07.002
61. Cicone JS, Petronis JB, Embert CD, Spector DA. Successful treatment of calciphylaxis with intravenous sodium thiosulfate. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2004;43(6):1104-1108. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2004.03.018
62. Sowers KM, Hayden MR. Calcific uremic arteriolopathy: pathophysiology, reactive oxygen species and therapeutic approaches. *Oxid Med Cell Longev.* 2010;3(2):109-121. doi: 10.4161/oxim.3.2.11354
63. Ahnsan U. Resolution of calciphylaxis after successful kidney transplantation [NKF abstract 212]. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2009;53(4):B75.
64. Lu Y, Shen L, Zhou L, Xu D. Success of small-dose fractionated sodium thiosulfate in the treatment of calciphylaxis in a peritoneal dialysis patient. *BMC Nephrol.* 2022;23(1):4. doi: 10.1186/s12882-021-02648-9
65. Hackett BC, McAleer MA, Sheehan G, Powell FC, O'Donnell BF Calciphylaxis in a patient with normal renal function: response to treatment with sodium thiosulfate. *Clin Exp Dermatol.* 2009;34(1):39-42. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2230.2008.02877.x
66. Hayden MR. Calciphylaxis and the cardiometabolic syndrome: the emerging role of sodium thiosulfate as a novel treatment option. *J Cardiometab Syndr.* 2008;3(1):55-59. doi: 10.1111/j.1559-4572.2008.08261.x
67. Strazzula L, Nigwekar SU, Steele D, et al. Intralesional sodium thiosulfate for the treatment of calciphylaxis. *JAMA Dermatol.* 2013;149(8):946-949. doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2013.4565
68. Gabel CK, Nguyen ED, Chakrala T, et al. Assessment of outcomes of calciphylaxis. *J Am Acad Dermatol.*

- 2021;85(4): 1057-1064. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2020.10.067
69. Sinha S, Gould LJ, Nigwekar SU, et al. The CALCIPHYX study: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 clinical trial of SNF472 for the treatment of calciphylaxis. *Clin Kidney J.* 2022;15(1):136-144. doi:10.1093/ckj/sfab117
 70. Torregrosa JV, Sánchez-Escuredo A, Barros X, Blasco M, Campistol JM. Clinical management of calcific uremic arteriolopathy before and after therapeutic inclusion of bisphosphonates. *Clin Nephrol.* 2015;83(4):231-234. doi:10.5414/CN107923
 71. Evaluation of vitamin K supplementation for calcific uremic arteriolopathy. ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02278692. Updated September 17, 2019. Accessed January 25, 2022.
<https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02278692>
 72. Ketteler M, Block GA, Evenepoel P, et al. Executive summary of the 2017 KDIGO Chronic Kidney Disease-Mineral and Bone Disorder (CKD-MBD) Guideline Update: what's changed and why it matters. *Kidney Int.* 2017;92:26-36.
 73. Baldwin C, Farah M, Leung M, et al. Multi-intervention management of calciphylaxis: a report of 7 cases. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2011;58:988-991. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2011.06.022
 74. Adapa S, Naramala S, Gayam V, et al. Calciphylaxis in a patient on home hemodialysis. *J Investig Med High Impact Case Rep.* 2020;8:2324709620922718. doi:10.1177/2324709620922718
 75. Nordheim E, Dahle DO, Syse IM, Åsberg A, Reisæter AV, Hartmann A. Resolution of calciphylaxis after urgent kidney transplantation in 3 patients with end-stage kidney failure. *Transplant Direct.* 2016;2:e113. doi: 10.1097/TXD.0000000000000627
 76. Bhat S, Hegde S, Bellovich K, El-Ghoroury M. Complete resolution of calciphylaxis after kidney transplantation. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2013;62:132-134. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2012.12.029
 77. Gallo Marin B, Aghagoli G, Hu SL, Massoud CM, Robinson-Bostom L. Calciphylaxis and Kidney Disease: A Review. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2023 Feb;81(2):232-239. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2022.06.011. Epub 2022 Aug 12. PMID: 35970430.
 78. Adrogué HJ, Frazier MR, Zeluff B, Suki WN. Systemic calciphylaxis revisited. *Am J Nephrol* 1981; 1:177.

79. Fine A, Zacharias J. Calciphylaxis is usually non-ulcerating: risk factors, outcome and therapy. *Kidney Int* 2002; 61:2210.
80. el-Azhary RA, Arthur AK, Davis MD, et al. Retrospective analysis of tissue plasminogen activator as an adjuvant treatment for calciphylaxis. *JAMA Dermatol* 2013; 149:63.
81. Tittelbach J, Graefe T, Wollina U. Painful ulcers in calciphylaxis - combined treatment with maggot therapy and oral pentoxifyllin. *J Dermatolog Treat* 2001; 12:211.
82. Picazo M, Bover J, de la Fuente J, et al. [Sterile maggots as adjuvant procedure for local treatment in a patient with proximal calciphylaxis]. *Nefrologia* 2005; 25:559.
83. Gabel CK, Nguyen ED, Chakrala T, et al. Assessment of outcomes of calciphylaxis. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2021; 85:1057.
84. Sinha, Smeeta et al. Hexasodium fytate for the treatment of calciphylaxis: a randomised, double-blind, phase 3, placebo-controlled trial with an open-label extension. *eClinicalMedicine*, Volume 75, 102784
85. Bang, J., Park, H., Yoo, J. *et al.* Selection and identification of a novel bone-targeting peptide for biomedical imaging of bone. *Sci Rep* 10, 10576 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-67522-4>